



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/648,068

08/26/2003

T. Wade Fallin

13447.37

3366

44270

7590

09/21/2009

MEDICINELODGE INC.

124 South 600 West

LOGAN, UT 84321

EXAMINER

RYCKMAN, MELISSA K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3773

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/21/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |                                      |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/648,068 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>FALLIN ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>MELISSA RYCKMAN   | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3773              |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MELISSA RYCKMAN. (3) Barbara Daniels.

(2) Jackie Ho. (4) Dave Meibos and Jo Hays.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Simonson, Fisher and Storz.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner clarified the previous office action, stating Simonson can be a 102 for several of the independent claims, and Fisher and Storz are a 103 for the other claims. In order to overcome the previous rejection/art the examiner suggested adding the threads at the distal tip and/or adding the structure that is seen in the current application in Fig. 2, element 156b. The examiner will update the search upon receiving a response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|  |   |
|--|---|
| /Melissa Ryckman/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 3773 | /(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773 |
|--|---|